SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO.1867 OF 2006

MALIK MAZHAR SULTAN AND ANR.

Appellant (s)

VERSUS

U.P. PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for exemption from filing O.T., clarification of court's order dated 03/04/2006 and office report)

With Civil Appeal No.1868 of 2006 (With office report)

Civil Appeal No.1869 of 2006

(With office report)

Civil Appeal No.1870 of 2006

(With office report)

Civil Appeal No.1871 of 2006

(With office report)

Civil Appeal No.1872 of 2006

(With office report)

[For Final Directions]

Date: 04/01/2007 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C.K. THAKKER

For Appellant(s)

In CA 1867/2006: Mr. Ejaz Maqbool, Adv.

Mr. Vikash Singh, Adv.

Ms. Taruna Singh, Adv.

Mr. Abhijeet Sinha, Adv.

In CA 1872/2006: Mr. Shail Kumar Dwivedi, Adv.

In CA 1868-71/2006: Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Merusagar Samantaray, Adv.

Mr. M.K. Singh, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Lakshmi Raman Singh, Adv.

Mr. Debasis Misra, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Tiwary, Adv.

Mr. Ranjan Dwivedi, Adv.

For Allahabad High

Mr. Ashok K. Srivastava, Adv.

Court:

Mr. Shaiwal Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. K.K. Mohan, Adv.

For M.P. High Court:

Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv.

Mr. Merusagar Samantaray, Adv.

For Uttar Pradesh:

Dr. R.G. Padia, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Pradeep Misra, Adv.

Mr. T. Mahipal, Adv.

Mr. Kamlendra Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Prakash Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. S. Chandra Shekhar, Adv.

For High Court of Mr. Janaranjan Das, Adv.

Orissa:

Mr. Swetaketu Mishra, Adv.

For Bombay High Court:

Mr. Aniruddha P. Mayee, Adv.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Choudhary, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Visen, Adv.

For Gujarat: Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv.

Ms. Pinky Behera, Adv.

For Tripura: Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Mr. Nishakant Pandey, Adv.

For Bihar: Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv.

Mr. Nishakant Pandey, Adv.

For West Bengal: Mr. Bhaskar P. Gupta, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Tara Chandra Sharma, Adv.

Ms. Neelam Sharma, Adv.

For Himachal Pradesh: Mr. J.S. Attri, AAG.

Mr. Vivek Singh, Adv.

For Arunachal Pradesh: Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv.

Ms. Smita Shankar, Adv.

For Assam: Mr. Riku Sarma, Adv.

for M/s. Corporate Law Group, Advs.

For Gauhati High Court: Mr. Vijay Hansaria, Sr. Adv.

Mr. P.I. Jose, Adv.

Mr. Anupam Mishra, Adv.

Ms. Sneha Kalita, Adv.

Ms. Deepti, Adv.

For Tamil Nadu and

Mr. V.G. Pragasam, Adv.

Pondicherry:

Mr. S. Vallinayagam, Adv.

For Mizoram:

Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv.

Mr. R. Sathish, Adv.

For Goa:

Ms. A. Subhashini, Adv.

For Manipur:

Mr. Kh. Nobin Singh, Adv.

Mr. S. Biswajit Meitei, Adv.

Mr. David Rao, Adv.

Mr. Prashant Chaudhary, Adv.

For Jammu & Kashmir:

Mr. Altaf H. Naiyak, AG

Mr. Anis Suhrawardy, Adv.

For Chhattisgarh: Mr. Rajesh Srivastava, Adv.

Ms. Suparna Srivastava, Ad.

Ms. Pooja Matlani, Adv.

For Rajasthan High

Mr. Sunil K. Jain, Adv.

Court:

Mr. S. Borthakur, Adv.

For Punjab:

Ms. Avneet Toor, Adv.

Mr. Sanjay Jain, Adv.

for Mr. Arun Kumar Sinha, Adv.

For Rajasthan: Mr. Aruneshwar Gupta, AAG.

Mr. Naveen Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Mukul Sood, Adv.

Mr. Shashwat Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Shikha Tandon, Adv.

Mr. J.K. Bhatia, Adv.

Mr. R.S. Jena, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth Panda, Adv.

For Sikkim and Mr. A. Mariarputham, Adv.

Delhi High Court: Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv.

for Arputham, Aruna & Co., Advs.

For Meghalaya: Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv.

Mr. S.C. Ghosh, Adv.

For Uttaranchal: Ms. Rachana Srivastava, AAG.

For Karnataka: Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Adv.

Mr. Anil K. Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Vikrant Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Sashidhar, Adv.

For Kerala: Mr. G. Prakash, Adv.

Ms. Beena Prakash, Adv.

For Nagaland: Mr. U. Hazarika, Adv.

Mr. Satya Mitra, Adv.

For Madhya Pradesh: Mr. Vikrant Singh Bais, Adv.

Mr. B.S. Banthia, Adv.

For N.C.T. of Delhi: Mr. R. Mohan, ASG.

Mr. S.W.A. Qadri, Adv.

Mr. R.C. Kathia, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

For U.Ts.: Mr. R. Mohan, ASG.

Mr. S.W.A. Qadri, Adv.

Ms. Vandana Bhandari Gugnani, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

For Maharashtra: Mr. S.S. Shinde, Adv.

Mr. V.N. Raghupathy, Adv.

For M/o Law & Justice: Mr. S.W.A. Qadri, Adv.

Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

For State of Haryana: Mr. Manjit Singh, Adv.

Mr. Harikesh Singh, Adv.

Mr. T.V. George, Adv.

For Punjab & Haryana Mr. Nidhesh Gupta, Adv.

High Court: Mr. Vinod Shukla, Adv.

Mr. Deepak Goel, Adv

Ms. S. Janani, Adv.

For Sikkim High Court: Mr. Vishnu Sharma, Adv.

For Andhra Pradesh: Ms. D. Bharathi Reddy, Adv.

Mr. P. Vinay Kumar, Adv.

Ms. Sneha Bhaskaran, Adv.

Mr. P.H. Parekh, Adv.

Mr. Sameer Parekh, Adv.

Mr. Ajay K. Jha, Adv.

Ms. Sonali Basu Parekh, Adv.

Mr. Deeksha Rai, Adv.

For Calcutta High Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.

Court: Mr. Raja Chatterjee, Adv.

Mr. Sachin Das, Adv.

Mr. G.S. Chatterjee, Adv.

For Himachal Pradesh: Mr. J.S. Attri, Addl. Adv. Genl.

Mr. Vivek Singh Attri, Adv.

Mr. B.S. Jain, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Veer Singh, Adv.

Dr. (Mrs.) Vipin Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep S. Tiwari, Adv.

Mr. Arvind Kumar Tiwary, Adv.

Mr. Radhe Shyam Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Ranjan Dwivedi, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following $\hbox{O R D E R}$

It was about five years' back that this Court directed

that existing vacancies in the subordinate courts, at all levels,

should be filled, if possible, latest by 31st March, 2003, in all

the States. This direction is contained in the judgement

reported in All India Judges Association vs. Union of India

(2002 (4) S.C.C. 247). It has been noticed that an independent

and efficient judicial system is one of the basic structure of our

Constitution. If sufficient number of judges are not appointed,

justice would not be available to the people thereby

undermining the basic structure. The judicial system has been

facing the problem arising out of delay in dispensation of

justice for which one of the major cause is insufficient number

of judges when compared to either the large number of cases

pending or in relation to the average judge-population ratio

going by the number of judges available in various other

democracies in the world. In this light, it becomes all the more

necessary to take all possible steps to ensure that vacancies in

the courts are timely filled.

Mr. Vijay Hansaria, learned amicus curiae, in the

written submission, has pointed out that according to the $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right)$

figures given by the News Letter issued by this

Court for the period January-March, 2006, there were 2730

posts vacant in the subordinate judiciary in the country as only

11,682 judges actually were serving out of the approved

strength of 14,402 judges, i.e., on an average, about twenty per

cent existing posts were lying vacant.

In this matter, by judgement and order dated $3 \, \mathrm{rd}$

April, 2006, it was observed that it is absolutely necessary to

evolve a mechanism speedily determine and fill vacancies of to For this purpose, timely steps are required judges at all levels. to be taken for determination of vacancies, issue of advertisement, conducting examinations, interviews, declaration

of final results and issue of order of appointment. It was

further directed that for all these above and other steps, it is

necessary to provide to fix time schedule so that the system

works automatically and there is no delay in filling up of the

vacancies. The dates for taking up these steps can be provided for on the pattern similar to filling of vacancies in some other services. Adherence to strict time schedule can help in ensuring timely filling of vacancies. In this view, all the State

Governments, Union Territories and/or High Courts were directed give suggestions regarding the schedule to time to be

fixed so that every year vacancies that may occur are filled.

This Court also requested Mr. Vijay Hansaria, senior advocate, to assist the court.

Considering the suggestions that have been given by

the State Governments, the learned amicus curiae submitted

written submissions, which were considered by this Court on

27th November, 2006. On the said date of hearing, it was

directed that the written submissions of the learned amicus

curiae along with the proposed suggestion as to time schedule

be sent to the State Governments/Union Territories and $\operatorname{\mathsf{High}}$

Courts so that their response/suggestions can also be taken into

consideration. Some of the State Governments and High Courts

have responded to the directions dated 27th November, 2006.

Mr. Vijay Hansaria has made additional submission having

regard to the responses received from the State Governments,

Union Territories and the High Courts pursuant to the order

dated 27th November, 2006.

Before general directions we issue and the time schedule to be adhered to for filling vacancies that may arise in subordinate district courts and courts, it is to note

that selections are required to be conducted by the concerned

authorities as per the existing Judicial Service Rules in the

respective States/Union Territories. We may, however, note

that, progressively, the concerned authorities would consider,

discuss and eventually may arrive at a consensus that the

selection process be conducted by the High Court itself or by

Public Service Commission under the control and supervision of

the High Court. In this regard, considerable progress has

already been made. Reference can be made to the decision

taken in a Conference held between the Chief Justices and Chief

Ministers, minutes whereof show that in some of the States,

selection of subordinate judicial officers at all levels of civil

judges is already being made by the High Courts. Some States,

where selection is still being made by the Public Service

Commission, were agreeable to entrust the selection to the High

Courts whereas Chief Ministers/Ministers of Himachal

Pradesh, West Bengal, Punjab and Kerala were of the view

that the present system may continue but the decision taken

jointly was that in the said States [Himachal Pradesh, West

Bengal, Punjab and Kerala] setting up of question papers and

evaluation of answer sheets be entrusted to the High Court.

Further decision taken was that in other States where selection

of subordinate judicial officers is not being done by the High

Courts, such selection be entrusted to the High Courts by

amending relevant Rules. In this connection, with the affidavit

filed on behalf of the Calcutta High Court, a copy of the letter

dated 15th September, 2006, addressed by the Registrar General

of the said Court to the Secretary,

Judicial Department, Government of West Bengal, has also been annexed. That letter refers to the aforesaid decision taken in the Conference of Chief Ministers and Chief Justices held on 11th March, 2006 requesting the State Government for effecting suitable amendment in the recruitment rules in terms of the decision Conference above-referred. in the Αt this stage, however, these are not the issues for our consideration. As already indicated, the selection is to be conducted by authorities empowered to do so as per the existing Rules.

Though submission made learned no was by any counsel appearing for any State Government that constitution selection committee of by the Chief Justice of the High Court monitor the timely appointment of judges to at subordinate/district level would amount to interference with the independent functioning Public Service of the State Commission, but some State Governments in their responses In view of what we have already noted about have indicated so.

the appointments to be made in accordance with the respective

Judicial Services Rules in the States, the apprehension of

interference seems to be wholly misplaced. A Committee

constituted by the Chief Justice of the High Court to ensure that

the vacancies are timely filled and the problem of delay in

dispensation of justice is tackled to some extent under no

circumstances be said to be interference with the independent

functioning of the authorities under the Rules or of independent functioning of the State Public Service

 ${\tt Commission.}$

For filling up of vacancies in the cadre of District

Judges, accepting the proposal to which none has objected,

except in the manner hereinafter noticed, we direct as under:

- A. For filling of vacancies in the cadre of District Judge in respect of
- (a) twenty five per cent vacancies to be filled by
 direct
 recruitment from the Bar; and
- (b) twenty five per cent by promotion through limited competitive examination of Civil Judges (Senior Division) not having less than five years of qualifying service.
 - S. Description Date

No.

 Number of vacancies to be notified by 31st March the High Court.

Vacancies to be calculated including

- a] existing vacancies
- b] future vacancies that may arise
 within one year due to retirement.
- c] future vacancies that may arise due to elevation to the High Court, death or otherwise, say ten per cent of the number of posts.
- d] Vacancies arising due to

deputation of judicial officers to other department may be considered as temporary vacancy.

2. Advertisement inviting applications 15th April from eligible candidates

3. Last date for receipt of application 30th April

4. Publication of list of eligible 15th May applicants

List may be put on the website

5. Despatch/issue of admit cards to the 16th May to 15th eligible applicants June

6. Written Examination 30th June

Written examination may be

a] objective questions with multiple
choice which can be scrutinized by
the computer; and

b] subjective/narrative

S. Description Date

No.

7. Declaration of result of written examination

16th August

- a] Result may be put on the website and also published in the newspaper
- b] The ratio of 1 : 3 of the available vacancies to the successful candidates be maintained.
- 8. Viva Voce 1st to 7th
 September
- 9. Declaration of final select list and communication to the appointing authority

15th September

- a] Result may be put on the website and also published in the newspaper
- b] Select list be published in order of
 merit and should be double the
 number of vacancies notified.
- c] Select list shall be valid till the next select list is published.
- 10. Issue of appointment letter by the competent authority for all existing vacant posts as on date

30th September

- 11. Last date for joining 31st October
- B. For filling of vacancies in the cadre of District Judge

in respect of fifty per cent vacancies to be filled by promotion.

S. Description Date

No.

 Number of vacancies to be notified by 31st March the High Court.

Vacancies to be calculated including

- a] existing vacancies
- b] future vacancies that may arise
 within one year due to retirement.
- c] future vacancies that may arise due to elevation to the High Court, death or otherwise, say ten per cent of the number of posts.
- 2. Publication of list of eligible officers 15th May
 - a] The list may be put on the website
 - b] Zone of consideration should be
 - 1 : 3 of the number of vacancies

Description

Date

S.

No.

- 3. Receipt of judgments from the 30th May eligible officers
- 4. Viva Voce 15th to 31st July

Criteria

- a] ACR for last five years;
- b] Evaluation of judgments furnished; and
- c] Performance in the oral interview
- 5. Declaration of final select list and 31st August communication to the appointing authority
 - a] Result may be put on the website and also published in the newspaper
 - b] Select list be published in order of
 merit and should be double the
 number of vacancies notified.
- 6. Issue of appointment letter by the 30th September competent authority for all existing vacant posts as on date
- 7. Last date for joining 31st October
- C. For filling of vacancies in the cadre of Civil Judge

(Senior Division) to be filled by promotion.

eligible officers

Description S. Date No. Number of vacancies to be notified by 1. 31st March the High Court. Vacancies to be calculated including a] existing vacancies b] future vacancies that may arise within one year due to retirement. c] future vacancies that may arise due to promotion, death or otherwise, say ten per cent of the number of posts. Publication of list of eligible officers 2. 15th May a] The list may be put on the website of consideration b] Zone should be 1 : 3 of the number of vacancies 3. Receipt of judgments from the 30th May

S. Description Date

No.

4. Viva Voce 1st to 16th August

Criteria

- a] ACR for last five years;
- b] Evaluation of Judgments
 furnished; and
- c] Performance in the oral interview
- 5. Declaration of final select list and 15th September communication to the appointing authority
 - a] Result may be put on the website and also published in the newspaper
 - b] Select list be published in order of
 merit and should be double the
 number of vacancies notified.
- 6. Issue of appointment letter by the 30th September competent authority for all existing vacant posts as on date
- 7. Last date for joining 31st October
- D. For appointment to the posts of Civil Judge (Junior

Division) by direct recruitment.

S. Description Date

No.

1. Number of vacancies to be notified by
January

the High Court.

Vacancies to be calculated including

- a] existing vacancies
- b] future vacancies that may arise
 within one year due to retirement.
- c] future vacancies that may arise due to promotion, death or otherwise, say ten per cent of the number of posts.
- 2. Advertisement inviting applications 1st February from eligible candidates
- 3. Last date for receipt of application 1st March
- 4. Publication of list of eligible 2nd April applicants

The list may be put on the website

5. Despatch/issue of admit cards to the 2nd to 30th April eligible applicants

Description

S. Date

No.

- Objective questions with multiple
 choice which can be scrutinized by
 computer
- 7. Declaration of result of preliminary 15th June written examination
 - a] Result may be put on the website and also published in the Newspaper
 - b] The ratio of 1 : 10 of the available
 vacancies to the successful candidates
 be maintained
- 8. Final Written examination 15th July
 Subjective/narrative
- 9. Declaration of result of final written 30th August examination
 - a] Result may be put on the website and also published in the Newspaper
 - b] The ratio of 1 : 3 of the available
 vacancies to the successful candidates
 be maintained
 - c] Dates of interview of the successful
 candidates may be put on the internet
 which can be printed by the
 candidates and no separate

intimation of the date of interview need be sent.

10. Viva Voce 1st to 15th

October

- 11. Declaration of final select list and 1st November communication to the appointing authority
 - a] Result may be put on the website and also published in the newspaper
 - b] Select list be published in order of
 merit and should be double the
 number of vacancies notified.
- 12. Issue of appointment letter by the 1st December competent authority for all existing vacant posts as on date
- 13. Last date for joining 2nd January of

the

following

year

These directions would not be applicable to the judiciary in the Sikkim High Court in view of a very small cadre

of judiciary in that State.

constitute a committee of two or three judges to monitor and $% \left(\frac{1}{2}\right) =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}$

oversee that timely selection and appointment of judicial

officers is made. The Chief Justice is further requested to

constitute a special

cell in the name of `Selection and Appointment' in the $\operatorname{\text{\rm High}}$

Court or under such other name as the learned Chief Justice

may be consider proper with an officer of the rank of Registrar for assisting the Committee and the Chief Justice for complying with the aforesaid time schedule.

aforesaid selection The Registrar the of and Registrar appointment committee shall send to the General of 31st January this Court by every year report as regards the

filling up of vacancies with copies to Minister for Law and

Justice in the Central Government and the Law Minister of the

concerned State. The Registrar would also bring it to the

notice of the Committee and the Chief Justice any deviation

from the time schedule.

Insofar as the State of Bihar is concerned, the Patna

High Court has suggested that due to feasibility of floods, the

time schedule between June and November is not feasible and

that the time schedule of one year may be modified so as to

complete the selection process from December to June in the

said State. Learned counsel for the State Government and the

Public Service Commission has supported the view-point of the

High Court. Accordingly, the High Court can suitably, after

consulting with the Public Service Commission and the State

Government, amend the aforesaid time schedule. The amended time schedule be filed in this Court.

Insofar as Delhi is concerned, it has been stated that entire selection process is conducted by the High Court and

examination is held twice in a year for the Delhi Judicial

The High Court may, accordingly, amend the aforesaid time schedule so as to conduct the selection process twice in year and the revised time schedule shall be placed on the record of this case. For the present, the Delhi High Court is permitted publication three months' time for of final result after the

written examination.

The appointment letters shall be issued by the State

Government within one month of receipt of the recommendations from the respective High Court/State Public Service Commission.

The select list prepared for all categories of officials

shall be valid till the next select list is published.

We further direct that ten per cent of unforeseen

vacancies would be in respect of sanctioned posts and not

vacancies occurring in a particular year.

List of candidates eligible to appear the examination and final list shall also published be in local the newspaper personally intimated the officers, and be to in

The High Courts/State Governments/Union

addition to the same being placed on the website.

Territories shall be at liberty to apply to this Court for

variation in the time schedule in case of any difficulty having

regard to the peculiar geographical and climatic conditions in

the State or other relevant

consideration. However, till such time a different time schedule

is permitted, the aforesaid time schedule shall be adhered to

and appointments made accordingly.

We place on record our appreciation for the assistance rendered by Mr. Vijay Hansaria, learned amicus curiae.

For further directions, list the matter after four

months.

[T.I. Rajput] [V.P. Tyagi]

A.R.-cum-P.S. Assistant Registrar